

LE MANS CUP

MICHELIN LE MANS CUP Spa-Francorchamps Round 22 - 24 AUGUST 2024

Decision No. 48

From: The Stewards	Date:	4 September 2024
To: M RACING	Time (decision):	15:54 h

Description: The Stewards have received on 28th of August 2024, 17.29 hrs. a petition for a Right of Review from the competitor M Racing # 13 competing in the Michelin Le Mans Cup Spa Francorchamps Round 2024, in accordance with the Art. 14 of the FIA International Sporting Code (the ISC) within the 96 hours after the end of the race as specified in the Art. 14.4.1 of the ISC. The Stewards, after having extensively considered the matter taking into account the documents submitted, summoned and heard the team principal Mr. Yvan Muller and the team manager Mrs. Justine Monnier, supported by the lawyer of the Competitor Mr. Pascal Lavie by videoconference on Tuesday 03.09. 2024, 14.00 hrs. and determine the following:

N° / Competitor	13 / M RACING
Time (fact):	14:00
Session:	After the Race
Fact:	The competitor requires a review of the steward´s decision n° 43 of the 4 Race for the Michelin Le Mans Cup for car # 13 and requesting that the Stewards to consider such request and to make a determination whether or not a significant and relevant new element exists (Article 14.3 of the Code) in relation to the decision.
Offence:	Article 14.4.1 of International Sporting Code
Decision:	The Stewards deny the Competitor´s Petition of a Review under Art. 14 of the ISC. There is no significant and relevant new element which was unavailable to the parties seeking the review at the time of the decision concerned.

Reason:

After the race, the stewards received a report from the Race Director about an incident between cars 13 and 67. The stewards examined video evidence and summoned and heard the drivers and the team managers. The stewards decided to penalize car 13 because he hit car 67 just after the last corner before the Checkered Flag. The Appellant added to his review request additional video recordings Doc. 6 from the onboard camera of car 13 and data Doc. 5 of this car. Even if the submitted documents show that the speed and the line of car 67 in front of car 13 were different in the final lap and the lap before it is not relevant and significant to change the decision 43. The competitor explained during the hearing that the driver Alexandre Cougnaud realized that the driver in front of him is slower than in the lap before, breaking earlier and driving a different line. All this is no justification for driving into the rear of the car in front.

The Stewards find in their sole discretion, that all the facts that were mentioned and provided in the petition were not found to be new, relevant and significant even that the footages were not available at the time of the decision 43.

The key points required under Art. 14.1.1 ISC are not met and thus the Stewards deny the Competitor's petition for the Right of Review.

M. Juni

Michael Schwägerl Chairman of the Stewards

Brieuc Kremer International Steward

Philippe Lackman National Steward